

Linear Estimation and Least Squares: What's the Connection?

Travis J. Arnold

University of Wisconsin-Madison
Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering
Systems Seminar

December 15, 2017

- Linear regression and least squares techniques are commonly employed by engineers for parameter estimation because they are intuitive and often easy to implement.
- However, practitioners and researchers often miss some of the finer points of the theory underlying such methods.

- The context of this talk is the linear model:

$$y = X\theta + e$$
$$E[e] = 0 \quad E[ee'] = R$$

- **Goal:** Estimate the underlying parameter θ from the measurement y .
- Specifically, we would like to find a **minimum variance linear unbiased estimator (MVLUE)**, $\hat{\theta}$, of θ .

What does MVLUE mean?

■ Linear:

$$\hat{\theta} = My$$

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = MX\theta$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = MRM'$$

■ Unbiased:

$$\theta = E[\hat{\theta}] = MX\theta \text{ for all } \theta \implies MX = I$$

■ Minimum variance:

$$\text{var}(\hat{\theta}^*) - \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq 0 \text{ for any linear unbiased estimator } \hat{\theta}^*$$

Motivation: a problematic statement

- Consider this theorem from Rajamani and Rawlings (2007):

Theorem

For a linear model of the form $y = X\theta + e$ with $E[e] = 0$ and $E[ee'] = R$, the weighted least squares estimator for θ is formulated as

$$\min_{\theta} \|X\theta - y\|_{R^{-1}}^2$$

The weighted least squares estimator given by

$$\hat{\theta} = (X'R^{-1}X)^{-1}X'R^{-1}y$$

then has the minimum variance among all linear unbiased estimators.

- This result isn't exactly wrong, but it is incomplete. To hold as stated it requires X to have full column rank and $R > 0$.

Overview for the rest of the talk

- 1 Show what the MVLUE is when X has full column rank and $R \geq 0$ (not necessarily strictly positive definite).
 - ▶ I will not provide a formal proof, but I will provide the intuition that underlies the result.
- 2 Demonstrate that the MVLUE is also a solution to a corresponding, well posed least squares problem.
- 3 Discuss what happens if X does not have full column rank.

Intuition behind the MVLUE

- The minimum variance criterion is not immediately practicable—we cannot minimize a matrix.
- However, for any matrices A and B ,

$$A - B \geq 0 \implies \text{tr} A \geq \text{tr} B$$

- This means that a MVLUE has the following property:

$$\text{tr var}(\hat{\theta}^*) \geq \text{tr var}(\hat{\theta}) \text{ for any linear unbiased estimator } \hat{\theta}^*$$

- This motivates us to consider the following constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_M \quad & \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} M R M' \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & M X = I \end{aligned}$$

Solving the problem

- Form the Lagrangian and take derivatives:

$$L(M, \Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} MRM' + \text{tr} \Lambda'(MX - I)$$

$$\frac{dL}{dM} = MR + \Lambda X'$$

$$\frac{dL}{d\Lambda} = MX - I$$

- Setting the derivatives equal to zero gives the first order necessary conditions for a minimum:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R & X \\ X' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M^{o'} \\ \Lambda^{o'} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving the problem

- The block matrix on the left is called a bordered Gramian matrix. This equation has a solution if and only if X has full column rank, in which case the general solution is

$$M^o = (X'WX)^{-1}X'W + Q(I - W^+W)$$

where $W = (R + XX')^+$ and Q is an arbitrary matrix of appropriate size.

- ▶ The superscript $+$ denotes Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse (Magnus and Neudecker, 1999, Section 2.5)
 - ▶ See Magnus and Neudecker (1999, Theorem 3.24) for proof of this result.
- This suggests that

$$\hat{\theta} = (X'WX)^{-1}X'Wy$$

is a good candidate for a MVLUE.

- It turns out our intuition is correct. We give the following theorem without proof:

Theorem

The MVLUE of θ exists and is unique if and only if X is full column rank, in which case

$$\hat{\theta} = (X'WX)^{-1}X'Wy$$
$$\text{cov}(\hat{\theta}) = (X'WX)^{-1} - I$$

where $W = (R + XX')^+$.

- This is a simplified version of results found in Rao (1973).
- Rao's treatment is clunky and hard to read in my opinion. I wrote up a note for my own reference that gives a fairly expository proof—let me know if you'd like to see it!

X full column rank $\implies X'WX$ is invertible

- Assume X is full column rank.
- W is symmetric, so we can write it's singular value decomposition as

$$W = (R + XX')^+ = USU' = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_1' \\ U_2' \end{bmatrix} = U_1 \Sigma U_1'$$

where U is orthogonal (so $U_1'U_1 = I$) and $\Sigma > 0$.

- Then an SVD of W^+ is

$$W^+ = R_+XX' = US^+U' = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_1' \\ U_2' \end{bmatrix} = U_1 \Sigma^{-1} U_1'$$

X full column rank $\implies X'WX$ is invertible

- U_1 is a basis for $\text{col } W = \text{col } W^+$, and

$$\text{col } W = \text{col } W^+ = \text{col } \begin{bmatrix} R & XX' \end{bmatrix} \supseteq \text{col } XX' = \text{col } X$$

- Therefore we can write $X = U_1 Y$ for some Y . Furthermore, we know Y has full column rank (if it didn't, then X wouldn't have full column rank).
- Finally, this allows us to write

$$X'WX = Y'U_1'U_1\Sigma U_1'U_1Y = Y'\Sigma Y > 0$$

The least squares problem

- Assume X has full column rank and consider the following least squares problem:

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2} \|X\theta - y\|_W^2$$

- Rewrite the objective function:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta) &:= \frac{1}{2} \|X\theta - y\|_W^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (X\theta - y)' W (X\theta - y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \theta' X' W X \theta - \theta' X' W y + \frac{1}{2} y' W y \end{aligned}$$

- Sufficient conditions for an absolute minimum:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df}{d\theta} &= X' W X \theta - X' W y = 0 \\ \frac{d^2 f}{d\theta^2} &= X' W X > 0 \end{aligned}$$

The least squares problem

- These conditions are satisfied if and only if

$$\theta = \hat{\theta} = (X'WX)^{-1}X'Wy$$

- This is a well posed optimization problem with a unique solution, and that solution is the MVLUE.
- Adding the XX' term in the weight is the secret sauce that makes it work out nicely.

What if X doesn't have full column rank?

- In this case, it's immediately obvious that the least squares problem does not have a unique solution.
 - ▶ For any θ_1 , there exists $\theta_2 \neq \theta_1$ such that $X\theta_1 = X\theta_2$.
- It turns out there does not even exist a linear unbiased estimator of θ , let alone a MVLUE! This fact is less obvious however.

- For linear models, the MVLUE exists and is unique if and only if the model matrix X has full column rank.
- We do not require the covariance matrix R to be invertible for this to hold, but if we do not assume that R is invertible then we need to take some additional steps to solve the problem.
- The MVLUE is also the unique solution to a corresponding least squares problem.

- J. R. Magnus and H. Neudecker. *Matrix Differential Calculus with Applications in Statistics and Econometrics*. John Wiley, New York, 1999.
- M. R. Rajamani and J. B. Rawlings. Estimation of the disturbance structure from data using semidefinite programming and optimal weighting. Technical Report 2007-02, TWMCC, June 2007.
- C. R. Rao. *Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, second edition, 1973.

Questions?